

Lidya Radin
c/o Friendly
203 W. 107th Street, # 8A
New York, New York 10025
Email: radin.lidya2@gmail.com; Telephone: 516-445-4390

Thursday, 30-June-2016

Ruby J. Krajick,
Clerk of Court
United States District Court, S.D.N.Y.
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

RECEIVED
SDNY PRO SE OFFICE
2016 JUL -5 AM 9:36

RECEIVED
2016 JUN 30
U S DISTRICT COURT
SDNY

RE: PURSUANT TO 18 USC SEC. 2071, CRIMES COMMITTED in the
Writ of Prohibition: “ In re Lidya Radin, Claimant/petitioner against Acting
Presiding Judge Peter Tom et al, Respondents” , docket no: 16-cv-3291,
rejecting Judge Loretta Preska’s May 31, 2016 ORDER for fraud on the court
by court officers, and for other intentional fraud by court officers.

Court Clerk Krajick:

Please find enclosed the first-page of Judge Preska’s May 31, 2016 order which **I am rejecting for fraud on the Court by Court Officers and which is void for fraud:** the caption has been changed, my Writ of Prohibition is falsely referred to as a complaint, and falsely referred to “styled” as a a Notice of Petition for a Writ of Prohibition. It is **not** “**styled**” as a Notice of Petition for a Writ of Prohibition, it **is** a Petition for a Writ of Prohibition with Notice.

Also find enclosed Judge Preska’s May 19, 2016 order wherein **the caption was changed unlawfully and intentionally to commit fraud on the Court by Court Officers.** Also, the docket sheet was reflects fraud on the court by Court Officers in that I filed a Writ of Prohibition, **not** a complaint, **not** a Writ of Mandamus. Find enclosed the first two pages of my 2-May-2016 cover-letter wherein I stated this explicitly in paragraph 3: “ Please be aware that this is a this is a **Writ of Prohibition, an original proceeding,** **not** a complaint, and **not** a Writ of Mandamus: **Writ of Prohibition** due to constitutional violations of due process, equal protection, and redress such that the lower court lost jurisdiction.”

It took effort and malice of forethought to falsify the court record like this: crimes.

Also, find enclosed a one-page print out from a video that I made of my telephone conversation with Judge Preska. The last three minutes are the actual phone call, the first 40 minutes or so

explains the fraud on the Court by Court Officers. The link to this video on the Internet is:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zBI2W2Y2N3I>.

Judge Preska has not gotten back to me.

I followed up in a phone call with her law clerk, Megan, who has likewise refused to respond.

Please contact me regarding having these crimes against me prosecuted.

Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lidya Maria Radin". The ink is dark and the signature is fluid.

Lidya Maria Radin

RECEIVED
2016 JUN 30 PM 7:42
U S DISTRICT COURT SDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LIDYA RADIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ACTING PRESIDING JUDGE PETER TOM,
JUDGE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT,
APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST
DEPARTMENT; AND THE CLERKS OF
COURT, JOHN AND JANE DOES, 1-15;
CYRUS ROBERT VANCE, JR., DISTRICT
ATTORNEY; ERIC SCHNEIDERMAN,
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE
OF NEW YORK,

Defendants.

Rejected for

16-CV-3291 (LAP)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

RECEIVED
2016 JUN 30 12:42
U.S. DISTRICT COURT SDNY

CAUSE

LORETTA A. PRESKA, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, brings this action styled as a "Notice of Petition for a Writ of Prohibition." By order dated May 19, 2016, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis*. For the following reasons, the Court dismisses the action.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an *in forma pauperis* complaint, or portion thereof that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see *Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co.*, 141 F.3d 414, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3). While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 512 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret

Rejected for

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LIDYA RADIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ACTING PRESIDING JUDGE PETER TOM,
et al.,

Defendants.

LORETTA A. PRESKA, Chief United States District Judge:

Leave to proceed in this Court without prepayment of fees is authorized. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 19, 2016
New York, New York

ORDER GRANTING IFP APPLICATION

FRAND
CAPTION WAS
changed
to

16-CV-3291 (UA)

Shift
the
burden of
proof

Loretta A. Preska
LORETTA A. PRESKA
Chief United States District Judge

RECEIVED
2016 JUN 30 11:41
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-The caption was changed to
change a Writ of Prohibition to a
complaint, unlawfully. It took effort and
malice of forethought to change the caption especially
after I stated in my cover letter: "PLEASE be
aware that this is a Writ of Prohibition, an original
proceeding, not a complaint,
and not a Writ of Mandamus"
from my cover-letter.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE 5/27/16

BY *Mary Salcedo*

() Clerk
(x) Deputy

ECF,PRO-SE

U.S. District Court
Southern District of New York (Foley Square)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:16-cv-03291-UA

Radin v. Tom et al

Assigned to: Judge Unassigned

Cause: 28:1651mn Petition for Writ of Mandamus

Date Filed: 05/03/2016

Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 540 Mandamus & Other

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Petitioner**Lidya Radin**represented by **Lidya Radin**

c/o Joe Friendly

203 West 107 Street

Apt. 8A

New York, NY 10025

(516) 445-4390

PRO SE

V.

Respondent**Peter Tom**Acting Presiding Judge, New York
Supreme CourtRespondent**John and Jane Does 1-15**Appellate Division, First Department,
and the clerk of courtRespondent**Cyrus Robert Vance, Jr.**

District Attorney

Respondent**Eric Schneiderman**Attorney General of the State of New
York

U S DISTRICT COURT SDNY

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
05/03/2016	1	REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS. Document filed by Lidya Radin.(sac) (Entered: 05/04/2016)

FRAUD

-I filed a writ of Prohibition, not a writ of Mandamus;

It took effort, and malice of forethought to change "Prohibition" to "Mandamus";

FRAUD

especially after I stated so in my cover-letter;

"PLEASE be aware that this is a writ of Prohibition, an original proceeding, not a complaint, and not a writ of Mandamus from my cover letter

05/03/2016	<u>2</u>	NOTICE OF PETITION FOR A WRIT OF PROHIBITION against John and Jane Does 1-15, Eric Schneiderman, Peter Tom, Cyrus Robert Vance, Jr. Document filed by Lidya Radin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Main Document)(sac) Modified on 5/27/2016 (tro). (Entered: 05/04/2016)
05/03/2016	<u>3</u>	LETTER from Lidya Radin dated 5/2/2016 re: Filing a Writ of Prohibition an original proceeding, in the district court, S.D.N.Y. Document filed by Lidya Radin.(sac) (Entered: 05/04/2016)
05/03/2016		Case Designated ECF. (sac) (Entered: 05/04/2016)
05/19/2016	<u>4</u>	ORDER GRANTING IFP APPLICATION: Leave to proceed in this Court without prepayment of fees is authorized. 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (Signed by Judge Loretta A. Preska on 05/19/2016) The Clerks Office Has Mailed Copies. (ca) (Entered: 05/19/2016)
05/19/2016		Mailed a copy of <u>4</u> Order Granting IFP Application to Lidya Radin c/o Joe Friendly 203 West 107 Street Apt. 8A New York, NY 10025. (ca) (Entered: 05/19/2016)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
05/27/2016 09:56:35			
PACER Login:	us5070:2654438:0	Client Code:	
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	1:16-cv-03291-UA
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10

-It took effort and malice of forethought to change "prohibition" to "MANDAMUS".

RECEIVED
2016 JUN 30 P 7:42
U S DISTRICT COURT SDNY

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE 5/27/16

BY Mary Salcedo
() Clerk
(x) Deputy

STATE OF NEW YORK
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
HARRISON, N.Y. 10528
RECEIVED

2016 APR 28 AM 10:10

United States District Court
Southern District of New York

In re Lidya Radin.

↑↑↑↑

Claimant/petitioner,

↑↑

-against-

Acting Presiding Judge **Peter Tom**,
Judge, New York Supreme Court,
Appellate **Division**, First Department,
and the clerks of court, John and Jane
Does, 1-15

Cyrus Robert Vance, Jr.,
District Attorney,

Eric Schneiderman, Attorney General
of the State of New York,

Respondents. ←

S.D.N.Y. Docket no: _____

2009 NY 048859: criminal court docket no.
N.Y. County Clerk # 570444/11

- Changing the
caption took effort
and malice of
fore-thought

VERIFIED PETITION FOR A WRIT OF PROHIBITION

ORAL ARUGMENT DEMANDED

WITH A STAY AND MOTION FOR POOR
PERSON'S RELIEF

→ To try to change the
Writ of Prohibition into a
Complaint, to shift

1. The Second Circuit used an Internet site as a source for Judicial Notice in *Briscoe v. Ercole*, 565 F.3d 80, 83 (2nd Cir. 2009). Thus, to protect myself and members of Court Watchers, I am posting my papers on the Internet and otherwise publishing them and distributing them widely. → Criminal conduct by Judge Loretta Preska
2. I am informing this Court of continuing special appearances by me until informed by this Court that I am proceeding in a Court of constitutional due process in an adversarial system with a plaintiff/petitioner, defendant/respondent(s), and a neutral, disinterested judge.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT SDNY
2016 JUN 30 P 7:43

RECEIVED

Lidya Radin
c/o Joe Friendly
203 W. 107th Street, #8A
New York, New York 10025
Telephone: 516-445-4390
Email: radin.lidya2@gmail.com

Pro Se Intake Unit

United States District Court
of the Southern District of New York
Daniel Patrick Moynihan
United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, Room 200
New York, New York 10007

2-May-2016

Placed in the overnight drop-box for filing.

RE: Filing a Writ of Prohibition, an original proceeding, in the district court, S.D.N.Y., documents enclosed.

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please find enclosed:

1. One original plus two copies of my Writ of Prohibition, in Lidya Radin, petitioner/claimant against Peter Tom, Cyrus Robert Vance, Jr., and Eric Schneiderman, respondents, plus the civil cover sheet, and my Application to Proceed without prepaying fees or costs.
2. I am providing the original, 77 pages, as requested, unbound, secured with a binder clip so that it can be scanned into your system more easily. The two copies are secured, as requested, with a staple in the left-hand corner covered with tape.
3. Please be aware that this is a Writ of Prohibition, an original proceeding, not a complaint, and not a Writ of Mandamus: Writ of Prohibition due to constitutional

Cover letter

RECEIVED
2016 MAY -2 P 11:54
U S DISTRICT COURT SDNY

RECEIVED
2016 JUN 30 P 7:43
U S DISTRICT COURT SDNY

violations of due process, equal protection, and redress such that the lower court lost jurisdiction.


Writ of Prohibition

4. My process server has already performed **personal, in-hand service** on the Respondents, and his affidavit of service is provided. Thus, I do not need a summons, or service.
5. I do need to set a hearing date for my Writ of Prohibition and place it on the Court's calendar. Please be so kind as to contact me regarding this, so that I may inform the named Respondents promptly. I left a blank space on my "Notice of Petition for a Writ of Prohibition" for the date to be filled in, at your convenience. Also, if any fact issue arises and needs to be resolved, I protected myself by writing in "Jury Trial demanded" in case any fact issue in any response or motion has to resolved. it should be sent to a Jury.
6. I understand that I may have to tolerate a Pro Se Staff Attorney's involvement in my case to the very limited extent that the Pro Se Staff Attorney may review my case for jurisdiction as my case is being assigned to a federal judge. Accordingly and to be clear, I demand that the so-called "Pro Se Staff Attorney" **not** be involved in my case to any significant degree and **not engage in the unauthorized practice of law** by purporting to represent me and/or my case. I understand that this Court uses the deceptive practice of putting the submissions of a Pro Se, Pro Per, Sui Juris litigant into the hands of a so-called "Pro Se Staff Attorney" who then provides the judge with a summary, often inaccurate and misconstrued, and that this Court does **not** inform the Pro Se litigant that this is done. Thus, I demand that this is **not** done here. I demand that my submissions be given to a federal judge, **not a magistrate judge**, directly **without** input from some nameless, faceless, so-called "Pro Se Staff Attorney" who engages in the **unauthorized practice of law** by purporting to represent me and/or my case. In fact, this person never ascertained the facts from me. The so-called "Pro Se Staff Attorney" does **not** represent me and/or my case. If the judge has a question, he/she can ask me, and/or schedule a hearing, if needed. I demand that my submissions be given to the federal judge directly, **not** to a magistrate judge, without passing through the hands of some nameless, faceless, so-called "Pro Se Staff Attorney" who does not represent me.

"crookeddoctors" and "Lidya Radin" Upload Sign in

Judge Loretta A. Preska = CRIMINAL (Hi, NYPD! Here is a judge 4 U)

2016 JUN 30 P 7: U S DISTRICT COURT SDNY



CrookedDoctors

Subscribe 62

76 views

Add to Share More

Published on Jun 4, 2016

LONGER VERSION: This is a brief introduction, about 30 minutes, to the June 1, 2016 telephone conversation I had with "former" Chief Judge Loretta A. Preska at 500 Pearl Street, New York City (about 3 minute telephone conversation, last 3 minutes of this video, is the telephone conversation) that

SHOW MORE

Loading...

Up next

Federal Judge Pauley, III, law clerk, Allison, 1/29/2016
CrookedDoctors
231 views

RAMAPO TOWN SUPERVISOR AND FORMER DEVELOPMENT
USADSDNY
76 views

Authorities Announce Corruption Probe Charges
CBS New York
884 views

John Vidurek a.k.a John Darash
CrookedDoctors
589 views

Federal Judge Pauley III law clerk Allison 1292016
pauleyallison
119 views

Preet Bharara on why financial crimes are difficult to prosecute
Tina Brown Live Media
100 views

Preet Bharara on the Dean and Adam Skelos wiretaps
Tina Brown Live Media
71 views

Roseanne Barr interviews Leonard Rowe about institutional rowan kelly
52:31
5,260 views

Press Conference for Jeremy Hammond 02/21/2013
LeakSourceNews
12:32
256 views

Chris Hedges on Jeremy Hammond: Judge Preska Should The Laura Flanders Show
2:19
2,853 views

Preet on Preet - New Insight on the "Sheriff of Albany"
Richard French
12:44
130 views

8th Annual Art Litigation and Dispute Resolution Institute - NYCLA CLE Institute
14:50
96 views

Milstein Criminal Justice Policy Forum - Citizens Crime
HPM Foundations
23:26
104 views

7/31/14 - The Secret Is Stellar, Overstock loves Bitcoin, & Core Money & Tech
5:02
985 views

Urgent letter campaign asking for leniency for Jeremy Hammond.
Resist All The Things
2:20
265 views

- This is a video tape that explains the fraud by Judge Loretta Preska; the last three minutes are from the telephone conversation I had with Judge Loretta Preska; Judge Preska never got back to me.